

AACL MOOTER'S SOCIETY

PRESENTS

DR. MUMTAZ AHMED KHAN 9TH NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2026

24TH TO 26TH APRIL, 2026



VISIONARIES



Baba-E-Taleem

Late Dr. Mumtaz Ahmed Khan

Founder, Al-Ameen Movement



Mr. Umar Ismail Khan

Hon'ble Chairman

Al-Ameen Educational Society



Mr. Zubair Anwar Sait

Hon'ble Secretary

Al-Ameen Educational Society

BRIEF HISTORY

Al-Ameen Educational Society was started by Dr. Mumtaz Ahmed Khan in the year 1966 to meet the needs of the education in the city and across the country. Under the auspices of the Al-Ameen Educational Society, Institutions of various types are functioning all over India. There are more than 200 institutions at present.

Realizing the importance of legal education in a democratic setup, the Al-Ameen Educational Society, Bangalore started the **Al-Ameen College of Law** with 3 Year LL.B Course in the year 1991-92 and 5 year B.A.LL.B. Course in the year 2001. The College has been offering 3 years and 5 year LL.B Course. It is affiliated to the Karnataka State Law University and recognized by the Bar Council of India.

WARM GREETINGS!



Dr. Waseem Khan M I

Principal

Al-Ameen College of Law

Moot Court is a venue, where the analysis, drafting, presentation and arguing skills of an upcoming lawyer are put to test. The AACL Mooters Society is a forum that assists the students of the college to develop their faculties of rational thinking, articulation, presentation of arguments and moreover strives to inculcate in each of the students, the spirit of professionalism, leadership and a strong desire for acquiring legal knowledge.

The AACL Mooters Society successfully organised the 8th National Level Moot Court Competition in the month of May 2025. 48 colleges from all over India participated in the competition which proved to be a good platform for students to sharpen their advocacy skills.

With great pride Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore announces its “9th National Level Moot Court Competiton-2026” to be held from 24th to 26th April, 2026. The Moot Proposition focuses on “Artificial intelligence and its impact on intellectual property rights”. We truly believe that this competition is an attempt to recognize and appreciate the sharp legal minds across the country with a platform to develop their skills and showcase their legal knowledge.

We extend a cordial invitation to your esteemed institution to participate in the 9th National Level Moot Court Competiton-2026. We expect students from all over the country to participate in the competition, showcasing their mastery on advocacy to be judged by some of the most elite names in both the corporate and the litigation sectors of the legal society. We await your presence and look forward to the opportunity of welcoming your team at Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore.

Warm Regards,

Prof. Dr. Waseem Khan. M.I,

Principal, Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore

Chairman,

AACL Mooters Society.

MOOT PROPOSITION

Apex Records Inc. & Ors. ... v. Union of Aspasia, Anderson Company Technologies Inc. & Ors. ...

1. The Republic of Aspasia is a sovereign democratic republic governed by a written Constitution guaranteeing freedom of speech and expression, subject to reasonable restrictions based on constitutional morality, public order, decency, and dignity. In recent years, the Government of Aspasia has adopted a restrained policy approach to the notion of “modesty”, under which allegations of vulgarity or obscenity unsupported by objective legal standards are discouraged and treated as legally unsustainable. This approach reflects the State’s attempt to balance technological innovation with fundamental rights..
2. In commemoration of the 75th Year of the Republic, the Union Government organised a national cultural programme featuring a synchronised drone display accompanied by music generated entirely through artificial intelligence. For this purpose, the Government licensed an AI-generated musical composition titled “Lost Recording / Last Smile”, produced through an AI platform known as “Del Luna”, developed and operated by Anderson Company Technologies Inc. (“Anderson”). Anderson is incorporated and headquartered in the State of Saturn, from where the Del Luna system was conceived, trained, deployed, and commercially operated.
3. Del Luna was presented as a generative AI system capable of autonomously composing music by analysing large datasets of existing sound recordings, lyrics, and musical patterns. Anderson maintained that the system relied on statistical correlations rather than reproducing any specific copyrighted work. The AI-generated composition was broadcast during the national celebrations and released simultaneously on digital streaming platforms, radio networks, and social media.
4. Shortly thereafter, Apex Records Inc. (“Apex”), a major music label, objected to the broadcast, alleging that Lost Recording was substantially similar to a well-known musical work titled “Ethos of Soul”, authored in 2020 by Mr. Jackson, an internationally recognised lyricist and performer. Ethos of Soul had been initially released in the State of Starlit. Its wider distribution across other States was delayed by approximately two months due to an internal ownership and licensing dispute among the rights-holding entities. Apex contended that this delay did not amount to abandonment of rights or dedication to the public domain.

5. According to Apex, the melodic structure, rhythmic patterns, and overall auditory impression of Lost Recording closely resembled Ethos of Soul, such that an average listener could identify the earlier work. Apex alleged that the AI-generated composition amounted to unlawful adaptation and substantial copying, constituting infringement under Section 51 of the Copyright Act, 1957, and not falling within the fair dealing exceptions under Section 52.

6. Apex further alleged that Anderson trained Del Luna on vast quantities of copyrighted sound recordings and associated metadata without authorisation. It was claimed that such training involved unauthorised processing of personal data embedded in sound recordings, including performer identifiers and voice attributes, in violation of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023. Anderson was also accused of failing to comply with intermediary and due diligence obligations under the Information Technology Act, 2000

7. During this period, Mr. Baskin, an independent digital composer, engaged with the Del Luna platform and claimed to have developed an original composition using AI-generated inputs. It was alleged that the AI output relied upon by Baskin was indirectly derived from Mr. Jackson's work, and that Baskin attempted to obtain a licence despite lacking proper authorisation from the concerned composer. Baskin had previously been associated with projects alleged to involve unauthorised adaptations, though such allegations had not resulted in conclusive findings.

8. Subsequently, AI-generated voice attributes and stylistic elements associated with Mr. Jackson were allegedly provided to Baskin as part of an AI-generated composition. Baskin modified the work by adding new lyrics alleged to be misogynistic and derogatory, and released the altered version commercially under his own name. He claimed exclusive copyright ownership, asserting that AI-generated works lacked human authorship and that his modifications created an independent work.

9. Both the original AI-generated song and the altered version were widely disseminated through public performances and broadcasts, including in theatres, transport hubs, educational institutions, and public transit systems. Objections were raised by civil society organisations and women's groups, alleging that the altered lyrics undermined the dignity of women and contributed to social disharmony. Reports of protests and concerns regarding public order were placed on record.

10. One women's rights organisation instituted proceedings in the State of Walmart, asserting that its members were exposed to the altered song while travelling on a passenger train from Saturn to Starlit, when the song was broadcast over the Walmart station radio during a scheduled halt. The organisation sought registration of a First Information Report under Sections 292 and 509 of the Indian Penal Code, alleging obscenity and insult to the modesty of women.

11. Multiple proceedings were initiated across different jurisdictions. Mr. Jackson filed a civil suit alleging copyright infringement, misuse of artistic identity, and violation of moral rights under Section 57 of the Copyright Act. Apex instituted independent commercial and civil proceedings seeking injunctions and damages for infringement and defamation. Public interest groups filed criminal complaints and writ petitions alleging police inaction and seeking enforcement of statutory and constitutional obligations. Allegations were also raised against digital intermediaries for failure to exercise due diligence under the IT Act and related rules.

12. The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology issued directions to digital intermediaries to remove the impugned song in the interest of public morality and decency. Anderson challenged the order before the High Court, contending that it violated Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution and amounted to impermissible restraint on expression. The Union Government defended the action under Article 19(2), citing public order, constitutional morality, and the dignity of women.

13. Apex issued cease-and-desist notices on the sixteenth day following the initial broadcast and subsequently filed civil proceedings seeking injunctions and damages under the relevant law, and, in the alternative, for civil defamation. In preliminary findings, the District Court and the Commercial Court observed that mere offensiveness was insufficient to establish obscenity. Applying the Hicklin test, the courts held that the material did not demonstrate a tendency to deprave or corrupt susceptible minds, and interim relief was declined.

14. In a separate proceeding, Mr. Baskin was sued for alleged copying of a foreign musical work. Objections based on res judicata were raised but rejected on the ground that the causes of action and factual circumstances were distinct. Despite several adjournments sought on personal grounds, the suit was dismissed by the District Court and the Commercial Court during the COVID-era disruptions

15. It was subsequently noted that several cases involving AI-generated songs with allegedly improper or uncensored lyrics were pending before courts across multiple States, resulting in inconsistent approaches. To address the emerging legal vacuum and ensure uniformity, the Supreme Court of Aspasia took suo motu cognisance and ordered consolidation of related matters to evolve a uniform framework governing AI-generated music, data protection, censorship standards, and intermediary liability.

16. It is clarified that the Constitution of Aspasia is pari materia with the Constitution of India, and that Indian constitutional principles, statutory interpretations, and judicial precedents may be relied upon as persuasive authority.

17. Since all the matters are interconnected to each other the Supreme court has decided to club the matter and has posted it for final hearing .

RULES & REGULATIONS

General Rules

- The administrator of the Competition shall be the **AACL Mooters Society, Bangalore.**
 - The Competition shall be conducted in accordance with the rules mentioned here under.
- For the purposes of this competition, it will be presumed that all participants have read and submitted to these rules. The participants are required to comply with the rules and procedures prescribed herein.

1. DATES OF THE COMPETITION: 24th to 26th April, 2026

2. VENUE:

The National Level Moot Court Competition will be held at Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore, Karnataka.

3. ELIGIBILITY:

This Competition shall be open to all students pursuing Undergraduate Law Degree Programme (3 Year LL.B. or 5 Year integrated B.A./B. Com/B.B.A./ B.S.L/B.Sc., LL.B.) in any Law College/Law School/University in India.

4. LANGUAGE:

The entire proceedings in the competition, written as well as oral, shall be in English only.

5. TEAM COMPOSITION:

The maximum number of participants per team shall be restricted to 3 out of which 2 shall be Mooters and 1 shall be Researcher. A team may also consist of only 2 Mooters. Each team will be allotted a team code. The team code shall be sent to the teams through mail after the registration is complete. Teams shall not disclose their identity or of their institution or city during any time of the competition; such disclosure shall invite penalties including disqualification.

6. **DRESS CODE:** The official Dress Code for the Competition is as follows:

6.1. Ladies: Black Trousers, White Shirt, Black tie or Black and White Salwar-Kameez with Black Blazer and formal Black Shoes.

6.2. Men: Black Trousers, White Shirt, Black tie with Black Blazer and formal Black shoes.

7. REGISTRATION:

7.1 The participation shall be restricted to the **first 30 teams** who confirm their participation on '**first come first serve**' basis.

7.2 All the participating colleges have to confirm their participation by filling the registration form through our college official website: - www.alameenlaw.com

7.3 The Registration Fee for the National Moot Court Competition is **Rs. 4000/ (Rs. FOUR THOUSAND RUPEES Only)**.

7.4 All the teams are required to make the payment through online mode with the link/bar code given below:



Al Ameen College of law
alame9906@barodampay

7.5 The participation of the college shall be confirmed only after uploading the transaction details in this link aaclmoot@gmail.com on or before **14th March 2026**.

7.6 The Registration fee shall be non- refundable.

7.7 No change in the names of the participants shall be permitted after the receipt of the Registration Form, except at the sole discretion of the Organizers.

8. MEMORIALS:

The following requirements must be strictly followed with respect to submission of Memorandum of Written Submissions. Non- conformities will be liable to penalties while awarding marks thereon.

8.1. Each team must prepare Memorandum of written Submissions for both sides to the dispute (Petitioner and Respondent).

8.2. All Participating teams must submit a soft copy of their memorials for both the sides on or before **11th April 2026 within 04.59.P.M.**

8.3. Once the Memorials have been submitted, no revisions, supplements, or additions will be allowed; however, teams may carry annexure along with and can submit them in the beginning of each round.

8.4. Teams shall send a Soft-Copy of the Memorials in **Adobe PDF** format only, via email to aaclmoot@gmail.com with the subject “Memorial Submission by Team Code for National Level Moot Court Competition, 2026.

8.5. All participating teams shall submit 6 sets of Hard Copy of the Memorials (i.e., 6 for Petitioner and 6 for Respondent) (totally 12 copies) must reach the organizers latest by **18th April 2026** Participants are advised to carry copies of Memorials during the competition for their own perusal.

8.6. Non adherence to the rules and late submission of Memorials will attract a penalty of 2 Marks for each day of delay. However, the penalty may be condoned in lieu of advance notification, subject to the approval of the AACL Mooters Society, Bangalore.

8.7. The Memorial must Contain the following:

- a) Cover Page;
- b) Table of Contents;
- c) List of Abbreviations;
- d) Index of Authorities;
- e) Statement of Jurisdiction;

- f)Statement of Facts;
- g)Issues Presented;
- h)Summary of Arguments;
- i)Arguments in Detail;
- j)Prayer.
- k)Appendix (Optional)

8.8. Each Memorial should not exceed more than 40 A4 pages.

8.9. The Memorials should not contain the name of the participating college in any part thereof. Also, no logo or any mark of identification should be made in the Memorials. Non-compliance with this rule will lead to immediate disqualification.

8.10. The Memorial shall be formatted according to the following specifications:

8.10.1. Typed on standard A4 size page

8.10.2. Font Type: Times New Roman

8.10.3. Font size for Body Text: 12; the text of the written submission must have 1.5. (Double) spacing. Font size for the cover page may be 14 or 12.

8.10.4. Font Size for Footnotes: 10; text of footnotes should be single-spaced (1.0.)

8.10.5. Margin: 1 Inch margin on each side of the A4 size page.

8.10.6. Quotations of sources of 50 words or more in any part of the memorial should be block quoted and must be in italics.

8.10.7. The participating teams should include Header and footer in their memorials to the effect that the Header should mention the full Name of Competition and the Footer should mention the side on whose behalf memorial is submitted along with Page Number at Bottom Centre of the Page.

8.10.8. The teams should mention their team Codes on the Top Right corner of their Cover page in their written submissions.

8.11. The Footnotes must follow the 20th Bluebook format of citation.

8.12. The Memorial must be Spiral bound

8.13. The Cover Page of the Memorial must have the following colour scheme:

Blue: Plaintiff/ Appellant Cover Page

Red: Defendant/ Respondent Cover Page.

8.14. The Memorial must not contain any Annexure/ Photographs/ Sketches/ exhibits/ Affidavits etc.

8.15. The Memorial will carry a maximum of 100 marks and there will be a separate prize for Best Memorial. As the Memorials shall be utilized to the extent of 30% i.e., The Memorial Marks will carry 30% of weight-age and the oral submissions will carry 70% weight-age of the total evaluation. Memorial Marks shall also be utilized to break any tie arising from the preliminary rounds.

8.16. Parameters for judging the Memorials are:

- Knowledge of Facts and Law.
- Proper and Articulate analysis, Clarity and Organization.
- Extent of Research.
- Grammar and Style.
- Correct Format and Citation.
- Understanding essential Legal Issues presented.
- Clear, Neat, Concise and Unambiguous Writing Style.
- Integration of facts into legal argument.
- Effective use of authority to support Argument.

8.17. The Hard Copy of the Memorials must be addressed to:

Prof. Waseem Khan. M.I,
Principal, Chairman of AACL Mooters Society
Al-Ameen College of Law
69, Behind Al-Ameen Tower,
Opp. Lalbagh main Gate,
Hosur Main Road,
Bangalore -560027. Karnataka
India.

9. INAUGURATION AND DRAW OF LOTS

The inauguration of the All India Moot Court Competition shall be held on 24th April 2026 followed by the draw of lots. The draw of lots for the preliminary rounds will take place on the same day after the registration formalities is complete. All the teams are required to be present for the draw of lots without fail.

10. ORAL PLEADING

There will be one Preliminary round of argument divided into two parts, a quarter final, a Semi final and a final round.

10.1. Preliminary Rounds: every participating team shall take part in the preliminary round as per the lots drawn are announced. This round will consist of two sessions and the participating teams will have an opportunity to argue for both sides. In each of the session the respective teams will be assigned either side i.e., plaintiff/appellant or defendant/respondent depending on the draw of lots. Each team will face different opponents in both the rounds and shall necessarily plead from both sides. Each team will be given a total of 30 minutes to present their case which includes rebuttal and sur-rebuttal. The division of time between the speakers is at the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of 13 minutes per speaker. Each team shall communicate to the court-clerk about their allocation of 30 minutes. Top eight teams on their cumulative marks scored in oral presentation as well as in the written submission shall be qualified for the quarter final rounds. In case of tie, the team with higher marks in memorial will proceed to the quarter finals. No researcher of any team will be permitted to speak but would be allowed to communicate with his/her team members in a discreet manner.

10.2. Octa final: The top sixteen qualified teams shall argue only one side in the Octa final and the side to be represented by the team shall be determined by way of draw of lots. Each team shall get a total of 30 minutes to present their case. The time includes rebuttal and sur-rebuttal. The division of time between the speakers is at the discretion of the team members subject to a maximum of 13 minutes per speaker. Each team shall communicate to the court-clerk about their allocation of 30 minutes. The teams to be qualified for the quarter finals shall be selected on the basis of "Knockout Round". Eight teams shall advance to the quarter final round.

10.3. Quarter Finals: the qualifying teams shall argue only one side in the quarter finals and the side to be represented by the team shall be determined by way of draw of lots. Each team shall get a total of 30 minutes to present their case. The time includes rebuttal and sur-rebuttal. The division of time between the speakers is at the discretion of the team members subject to a maximum of 13 minutes per speaker. Each team shall communicate to the court-clerk about their allocation of 30 minutes. The teams to be qualified for the semi finals shall be selected on the basis of “Knockout Round”. Four teams shall advance to the semi final rounds.

10.4. Semi-Final Rounds: Each team shall get a total of 30 minutes to present their case. The time includes rebuttal and sur-rebuttal. The division of time between the speakers is at the discretion of the team members subject to a maximum of 15 minutes per speaker. Each team shall communicate to the court- clerk about their allocation of 30 minutes. On the basis of knock out round two teams shall advance to the finals.

10.5. Final Round: each team shall get a time of 55 minutes to present their case. The time includes rebuttal and sur-rebuttal. The division of time between the speakers is at the discretion of the team members subject to the maximum of twenty five minutes per speaker. Each qualifying team shall communicate to the court-clerk about their allocation of 55 minutes. No team shall reserve more than 5 minutes for rebuttals.

10.6. Anonymity: participants may introduce themselves to the court by their team code. However, the team’s college should not be mentioned at any time. Further, all team members, coaches, advisors and observers shall refrain from identifying a team’s school/college at any time and in any manner, including, but not limited to, wearing and carrying any identifying items, such as badges, blazers, or pins or carrying identifying material such as books with college logo or college seal.

10.7. MARKING FOR ORAL PLEADING

- 1.Understanding the facts, law and procedure.
- 2.Application of law to the facts of the case.

- 3.Logical flow of arguments.
- 4.Ability to explain legal principles clearly.
- 5.Ingenuity and ability to answer.
- 6.Style, poise, courtesy and demeanor.
- 7.Reference to the memorials.
- 8.Time management.

11.PENALTY

Notwithstanding anything written as a part of the above mentioned rules, the following penalties shall be levied on the participants:

11.1.The hard copies must be exact print outs of the soft copies. Non-compliance with this rule would entail disqualification.

11.2.Soft copy of memorials submitted after the stipulated time will attract a penalty of one mark for each hour of delay and two marks for each subsequent day of delay, subject to prior notification and approval.

11.3.Hard copy of memorials submitted after the stipulated time will attract a penalty of two marks for each day of delay subject to prior notification and approval.

11.4.Memorials exceeding the length of 40 A4 size pages shall be subjected to deduction of marks.

11.5.Non-compliance with the rules mentioned in rule 8 will attract deduction of marks.

12.Scouting

12.1.Teams will not be allowed to observe the orals of any other teams. Scouting is strictly prohibited. Scouting by any of the team members will result in immediate disqualification. The organizers decision will be final in this regard.

12.2. The researchers shall sit with the orators at the time of the orals and shall not attend the court sessions of any other team participating in the competition.

13. AWARDS

SL.NO	AWARDS	PRIZES
1	WINNERS	RS. 30, 000 & Trophy
2	I RUNNER UP	RS. 20,000 & Trophy
3	II RUNNER UP	RS. 10.000 & Trophy
4	BEST MEMORIALS	RS. 5,000 & Trophy
5	BEST MOOTER (Prelims)	RS. 5,000 & Trophy
6	BEST MOOTER (Final)	RS. 5,000 & Trophy
7	BEST RESEACHER	RS. 5,000 & Trophy
8	Every Participating Team shall be awarded a “Token of Appreciation & a Certificate of Participation”	

14. QUERIES REGARDING THE MOOT PROBLEM:

All queries regarding problem, rules and regulation, shall be mailed to aaclmoot@gmail.com within 10th April 2026 and the same will be cleared by the organizers.

15. COPYRIGHT

Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore, shall have the right to assert copyright over the memorials of the teams that has received any of the prizes and reserve the right to publish the winning memorials after appropriate editing.

16. ACCOMMODATION, FOOD AND TRANSPORT

16.1. Accommodation and Transport-

●Accommodation shall be provided only to the outstation teams on the days of the competition only **24th to 26th April 2026** only. It shall be extended to the members of the team only (maximum three).

- The local teams shall not be provided with accommodation or travel assistance.
- The organizers shall be providing separate accommodation for boys and girls.
- Teams shall be responsible for any/all damages caused by them during their stay.
- Teams desiring to reach early or depart late must make their own arrangement for staying earlier/beyond the time stipulated above.

16.2. FOOD:

- Food shall be provided to all teams only on three days of the competition.

17. CODE OF CONDUCT

All the participants must behave in a dignified manner and not cause any inconvenience to the organizers. Any participant found misbehaving with other participant or volunteers will be disqualified immediately. Deference to the judges of the moot court competition must be maintained inside and outside the court hall.

Participants will be entirely disqualified from the competition on the following grounds:

A. If found smoking or consuming/carrying drugs or alcohol in the campus or place of accommodation.

B. If found misbehaving, or causing nuisance or making abusive statements, showing disrespect towards judges, co-participants or members of the host institution.

18. ORGANIZERS POWERS:

18.1. All participants are expected to maintain decorum in the court during the competition and are expected to conduct themselves in a manner befitting the legal profession. The organizers reserve the right to take appropriate action for any unethical, unprofessional and immoral conduct.

18.2. The organizers decision as regards the interpretation of rules or any other matter related to the competition will be final and binding.

18.3. If there is any situation which is not contemplated in the rules, the organizers decision on the same shall be final and binding.

18.4. The organizers reserve the right to vary, alter, modify or repeal any of the above rules without any prior notification, if so required and as they may deem appropriate.

AACL MOOTERS SOCIETY

Chairman

Prof. Dr. Waseem Khan. M. I.

Principal

Al-Ameen College of Law, Bangalore

Faculty Co-Ordinators

Mrs. Sahela Begum B, Vice-principal

Mr. Abdul Ashik, Asst. Prof

Mrs. Haseena Banu, Asst .Prof

Ms. Anusha Unnikrisnan, Asst. Prof

Student Co-ordinators

Shoukath Ali, 5th year B.A LL.B.

Noor Maryam 5th year B.A LL.B.

Amair Sayeed 3rd year LL.B.

Umme Kulsum, 5th year B.A LL.B.

Junaid, LL.B.

Noor Saba , 4th year B.A LL.B.

FACULTY

Prof. Waseem Khan. M.I,
Principal

Mrs. Sahela Begum B,
Vice Pincipal

Mr Abdul M Rawoother ., Asst. Prof.

Mrs Savita B, Asst. Prof.

Ms,Maryam Asst. Prof.

Mrs Rida Zaidi, Asst. Prof.

Ms Upasana Sahani, Asst. Prof.

Mrs.Shabana , Asst.Prof

Mrs. Haseena Banu, Asst. Prof.

Ms. Anusha Unnikrishnan , Asst. Prof.

Mrs. Tasnim , Asst. Prof.

Ms. Jayashree Natarajan , Asst. Prof.

Mr.Ajai K Reji , Asst. Prof.

GUEST LECTURERS

Mr. Syed Munaver.

Mr.Ismail Maitry.

Ms.Lalisha.

Mrs.Noor Asma

IMORTANT DATES

IMORTANT DATES	
Last date for receipt of soft copy of Registration form	14th March 2026
Last date for uploading Transaction details	14th March 2026
Last date for the Queries Regarding the Problem	18th April 2026
Last date for Submission of Memorials (Soft Copy)	11th April 2026
Last date for Submission of Memorials (Hard Copy)	18th April 2026

Inaugural, Draw of Lots & Research Test	24th March 2026
Preliminary Round, Octa final Round	25th March 2026
Quarter Final Round Semi-Final Round and Final Round	26th April 2026
Valedictory & Prize Distribution	26th April 2026

CONTACT DETAILS:

AACL Mooters Society Faculty Coordinators:

1. General Queries

- 1) Mrs. Sahela Begum, Vice-Principal: +91 9739831226
- 2) Mr Abdul M Rawoother, Asst. Prof.: +91 9021201620
- 3) Ms. Anusha Unnikrishnan, Asst. Prof. : +91 8281621771
- 4) Ms Jayashree Natarajan Asst. Prof: +91 6362624173

Students Coordinators:

Queries Regarding Moot Proposition

1. Noor Maryam: +91 7019066474
2. Umme Kulsum: +91 9019098703
3. Aarman Shiekh: 7439430839
4. Noor Saba: +91 7299841178
5. Rayeesul Islam : 7868925159

❑ Queries Regarding Registration

1. Noor Maryam: +91 7619115507
2. Umme Kulsum: +91 7204628975
3. Azam Imam: +91 8328998964
4. Shakira
5. Benezir
6. Karishma K
7. Sougra
8. Mehek

❑ Queries Regarding Accommodation


1. Shoukath Ali: +91 9916549766
2. Mohammed Taqveem
3. Mudabbir
4. Abdul Qaiyum

❑ Queries Regarding Transportation

1. Shoukath Ali: +91 9916549766
2. Umar
3. Arham
4. Sufaid

Food Committee

1. Zabi Ullah Baig: +91 9945320630 (**Faculty Incharge**)
2. Shoukath Ali: +91 9916549766
3. Mohammed Taqveem: +91 9742816904
4. Junaaid
5. Mudabbir
6. Abdul Qaiyum
7. Arfaan





ALL COMMUNICATION MUST BE ADDRESSED TO

THE PRINCIPAL

(9th NATIONAL LEVEL MOOT COURT COMPETITION)

Al-Ameen College of Law

No.69, Behind Al-Ameen Tower, Hosur Road, Bangalore-560027

PH: 080-22112563, Website: www.alameenlaw.com

Email: aaclmoot@gmail.com